

Ballot initiative will fail

Gary Rogers, Colorado Pro-Life Alliance, claims his anti-abortion ballot initiatives, three having already failed, are about protecting women's health; but he's cried wolf one too many times, and savvy Colorado voters will slap him with another stunning defeat should he

proceed with his latest hair-brained idea for a ballot initiative.

Recently, the Supreme Court refused a South Carolina case challenging 27 pages of regulations for abortion clinics that included a demand for wider hallways, airflow and temperature standards and alarm systems in bathrooms, none of which protects women's health but is meant to harass doctors.

Within 24 hours of that decision, Rogers said, "The information we have is clinics in Colorado aren't required to meet the medical standards we see in the rest of the medical community." Really? We heard that last year. Rogers falsely claimed Colorado abortion clinics didn't provide informed consent. In the end we learned for a fact they do and Rogers didn't really care about women's health after all, targeting poor women with a 24-hour waiting period and doctors for harassment.

Once again, Rogers insinuates but hasn't evidence of any violation of law or standard of safety by Colorado abortion clinics. How do we know that? The timing of this announcement and last year's post-election declaration proves this initiative is an ego-driven, desperate afterthought. Rogers said, after losing last year's ballot initiative, "We're more convinced than ever of the need for this law." Is that so? I suppose this latest ballot initiative is proof of that?

**Peggy Looman,
executive director,
Life and Liberty for Women**

Coloradoan 4/30/01